



WTP Construction Update: Meeting Summary

Meeting number: 003
Date: Tuesday, October 1, 2013
Time: 8:00 a.m. – 8:50 a.m.
Location: Burgerville, 18350 Willamette Drive, West Linn

Facilitator: Erin Deeming Kehe - JLA
Attendees: Six neighbors of the treatment plant and one representative from the City of West Linn attended the meeting.
Project team staff:
 Jane Heisler – City of Lake Oswego Christian Colman – Slayden Construction Group
 Katy Fulton – City of Lake Oswego Ron Candell – Slayden Construction Group
 Tom Lebo - Brown and Caldwell

I. Welcome & introductions

Discussion:

Eryn welcomed all to the third bi-monthly construction update meeting. Attendees introduced themselves, their roles or their locations in the neighborhood.

II. Action updates and questions

Discussion:

Katy provided updates on action items from the previous meeting, which are listed under section IV. Questions or concerns raised during the meeting are listed below.

Question or concern	Response or comment
Is there any ground testing as part of the home condition assessment?	<i>The survey will take place in the home, to document any existing conditions. No ground testing occurs.</i>
Where does the water from the dewatering system in the clearwell go?	<i>The water is treated on site using a settling basin and treatment system provided by Slayden and then discharged into the river in accordance with the DEQ permit.</i>
Report that most truck behavior has been good in terms of speed, but report of one truck with a bobcat delivery going about 30MPH.	<i>Slayden was aware of this incident and has spoken with the truck drivers to remind them to adhere to the construction speed limit.</i>
When will there be more information about the pipeline work in Mapleton? Concern about mobility needs, where the line will go, when it will be constructed and accessing driveways.	<i>A letter was sent asking neighbors to identify any special access needs to consider during construction of the water pipeline on Mapleton. The Partnership will provide more information about the pipeline project schedule, methods of construction and access needs when a contractor is on board for the work.</i>

Question or concern	Response or comment
Why can't the construction workers and trucks come to site after 7am, instead of before 7?	<p><i>West Linn code approved hours of construction work are Monday to Friday 7am-7pm and Saturday 9am-5pm. This does not limit when work crews can travel to/from the site.</i></p> <p><i>Slayden has instructed all site personnel and equipment operators to only operate machinery and equipment after 7am Monday to Friday and after 9am on Saturdays.</i></p>
Can we see the results from the vibration monitoring testing so far?	<p><i>GeoDesigns is the company that performed the monitoring and is preparing a summary report. The Partnership will make the report available once it is complete.</i></p>
Concern about continual vibration, can the Partnership consider constant monitoring at fixed positions (50 feet/100 feet from the site) throughout the life of the project?	<p><i>The Partnership will reinstall the monitoring equipment on site during multiple phases of the project, including demolition and drilling for auger cast piles.</i></p>

III. Three-week look ahead

Discussion:

Christian provided an overview of construction activities planned over the next three weeks, including delivery of equipment, continued excavation of the underground water storage reservoir (clearwell) to the south east of the site and set up of job site offices. Work hours will be Monday to Friday, 7am-7pm and 9am-5pm on Saturdays.

The three-week look ahead can be found [here](#).

IV. New business & action items

In addition to those concerns and questions listed above, items in the table attached on the next page require further action and will be discussed at the next meeting. Action items are numbered by meeting, followed by item number (i.e. 3.1 = meeting 3, item 1)

V. Wrap up and next meeting

The next meeting will be held on Tuesday, October 15 at 8:00 a.m. at Burgerville, 18350 Willamette Drive, West Linn. Thank you to those who attended the meeting. Thank you to Burgerville for providing the meeting space, cookies and coffee.

Action items list:

Item #	Date raised	Issue category	Question or concern	Response or comment	Additional follow-up action	Status
3.1	10/1/2013	Trucks	Reports of trucks not yielding to other cars, making wide turns into Mapleton and out of Kenthorpe and driving down the middle of Mapleton over the yellow line, taking up half of the road.	<i>Slayden has spoken with the truck drivers to reinforce safety and exercise caution on the roads. Commercial vehicles, including delivery vehicles, buses, mini vans and trucks, often swing wide to the make the corner and do take up extra space on the narrow roads. The Partnership has contacted the West Linn Police Department to discuss violations and road rules. An officer has been invited to come along to the next meeting on 10/15.</i>	<i>Check in at 10/15 meeting.</i>	Open
2.1	9/19/2013	Trucks/ Traffic	Reports from other neighbors that there have been delays and back-ups at the traffic signal from truck traffic on Cedar Oak Drive and Highway 43.	<i>The City of West Linn has re-striped the road, to extend the turning lane at the intersection. The Partnership will reimburse the City for this work. Attendees also suggested working with ODOT to investigate extending the timing signal.</i>	<p><i>Additional questions raised at 10/1 meeting: How would re-striping the road on Cedar Oak improve traffic flow? Doesn't the length of traffic signal need to change instead? Response: Restriping Cedar Oak will allow more traffic to queue up at the traffic signal, and allow more cars to turn right onto Highway 43 without waiting for those turning left.</i></p> <p><i>Check in at 10/15 meeting. The Partnership will contact ODOT and City of West Linn about the signal timing.</i></p>	Open

Item #	Date raised	Issue category	Question or concern	Response or comment	Additional follow-up action	Status
2.2	9/19/2013	Vibration	Reports of feeling vibration and shaking at some of the neighbors' houses and windows. Is it typical? Is it going to get worse? Will it cause long term damage to my house?	<p><i>The construction activities and methods are typical, and it is unlikely that the excavation and movement of heavy equipment would be substantial enough to cause damage.</i></p> <p><i>The Partnership placed vibration monitoring equipment on some properties that are abutting the area where excavation is currently occurring. [The vibration monitoring findings showed vibration levels were not high enough to cause any damage.]</i></p> <p><i>The Partnership will also offer any properties that are abutting the treatment plant site or within 100 feet, to have a specialist prepare a home condition assessment at no cost to owners. [Letters were mailed on 9/30 to property owners abutting the WTP and within 100 feet, offering a home condition assessment.]</i></p>	<p><i>Additional questions raised at 10/1 meeting: Can we see the results from the vibration monitoring testing so far? Response: GeoDesigns is the company that performed the monitoring and is preparing a summary report. The Partnership will make the report available once it is complete.</i></p> <p><i>Concern about continual vibration, can the Partnership consider constant monitoring at fixed positions (50 feet/100 feet from the site) throughout the life of the project? Response: The Partnership will reinstall the monitoring equipment on site during multiple phases of the project, including demolition and drilling for auger cast piles.</i></p> <p><i>Check-in at 10/15 to discuss response.</i></p>	Open
2.4	9/19/2013	Noise	Concern about hearing the back-up beepers on trucks. Are they using the "broadband device" on trucks that was outlined in the GNP or COAs?	<p><i>For safety requirements, the trucks need to have back-up beepers.</i></p>	<p><i>Check to make sure the broadband sensing device is required in the contract, and is being used. [Test of ambient noise sensing device held on 9/30. The beeper was able to be heard above ambient noise level. The contractor is installing these on all heavy equipment items at the site.]</i></p> <p><i>Check in at 10/15 meeting.</i></p>	Open

Item #	Date raised	Issue category	Question or concern	Response or comment	Additional follow-up action	Status
2.5	9/19/2013	Noise	Concern about noise, particularly from the excavators and back hoes repeatedly shaking their buckets to remove all soil.	<i>Slayden (construction manager) asked the excavator to reduce the shaking, and be more cautious of the methods being used.</i>	<i>Checked in at 10/1 meeting, still occurring. Check in at 10/15 meeting.</i>	Open
1.1	9/5/2013	Meetings	Others have mentioned staggering the meeting times for these bimonthly meetings.	<i>By those present at the meeting, 8:00 a.m. seemed like a reasonable time. Consistency of start time is important to avoid confusion.</i>	<i>Further concern raised on 10/1 about the time of the meeting - inconvenient for most of the neighbors. Most attendees at the meeting suggested staggering the meeting times, having one in the morning and one at night (6pm). Another suggestion was on Saturday mornings. The Partnership will look into this and report back on 10/15.</i>	Open
1.3	9/5/2013	Trucks	Concern that driveways may be blocked off by truck traffic queuing up to the site. What should I do if this happens?	<i>If it is urgent, approach the truck driver and ask them to move. Then, call the hotline to report the issue.</i>	<i>Ongoing check-in to see if any blockages have occurred.</i>	Open